

## Minutes, UDS Technical Support Group

2014-Jan-21

**Members:** J Arment (Chair), R Smith (Chair), J Casey, J Chontos, J Domino, J Edge, D Gartland, S Green, J Hamilton, J Harty, A Holladay, L Keller, D Kotzev, R Lamb, M Might, D Miller, R Nun, M Peckler, D Price, P Radel, J Snyder, D Stephenson, R Swain, D Tharayil, J Vavra, M Williams, J Wilson, J Winskowicz, R Wygand, D Zoller, H Zychowski

**Absent:** A Holladay, R Lambe, D Miller, J Snyder, D Tharayil, J Vavra, M Williams, J Wilson, J Winskowicz, R Wygand

**Also present:** D Clendenning, C Freund

### Announcements

1. The meeting was called to order.
2. Roll call was taken. A quorum was reached with 21 voting members present. Two non-voting members were also present.
3. Participants were reminded that the meeting was being conducted according to the NCIGF Anti-Trust policy.

### Business

1. Approval of Minutes from Nov 19, 2013 Conference Call. Moved, seconded, and carried.
2. UDS Help Desk Status Report
  - (a) Discussion of whether the total written and inforce policy premium fields of the B Records should have a trailing sign. The short description in the UDS Manual indicates that there is no positive or negative sign; however, in the long description the default value indicates that the sign should blank. This contradicts the description given in the short description. It was moved to modify the default value in the manual to read 'All zeros' striking the words 'sign blank.' The motion was seconded and carried. Daniel Clendenning will pass this change along to Darin Miller.
3. Sub-committee to update UDS Financial Manual. The committee is currently working on the Question and Answer section and expects to be done with the revisions in the next month.
4. Continue discussion regarding the return of images to the Receivers from GA's. In particular, is there a way to distinguish images that were originally sent to the GA from new records that the GA has added to a claim.

The Florida GA is planning to use one of the alternate indexes of the I Record to indicate the image was provided by the receiver. The Florida Receiver has agreed to this arrangement; however this is not a global solution.

John Arment indicated that they classify anything received from the receiver as pre-liquidation so that they can identify which images are from the receiver. No receiver has ever made the

request for the Michigan Fund to separate out the images into pre and post liquidation; however, they do send only new images to Reliance and Legion.

This discussion will be continued at the next meeting.

**New Business**

1. No new business.

**Next Meeting:** Tuesday, Feb 18 2014 at 2:00.